EXHIBIT "B"

_		
-1	Thi mil	E UNITED CHATEC DICTRICT COURT
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION	
3	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:06-cv-377-WKW	
4	PIONEER SERVICES	S, INC.,
5	Plaintif:	Certified Copy
6	VS.	
7	AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE	
8	COMPANY, INC., et al.,	
9	Defendants.	
10		
11		
12		
13	<u>DEPOSITION</u>	
14	OF	
15	DONALD L. DINSMORE	
16	taken on behalf of the Defendant pursuant to a Notice of Taking Deposition	
17		
18	DATE:	Wednesday, April 11, 2007
19	TIME:	9:35 a.m.
20	PLACE:	Scribe Associates, Inc. 201 Southeast 2nd Avenue, Suite 207
21		Gainesville, Florida
22	REPORTER:	Ingrid T. Cox, RPR Notary Public, State of
23		Florida at Large
24		
25		
		— SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
		•

- A. But I do mean, yeah, both those areas.
- Q. Okay. And I think you hit on this a little bit when Mr. Pearson was asking you about, I think it's paragraph 12 of your report. But based on your review of the claims file and the documents listed in Defendant's Exhibit 2 to your deposition, do you have an opinion as to whether Auto-Owners acted in good faith in its handling of Pioneer Telephone Services, Inc.'s contents claim?

MR. PEARSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: From the perspective of an insurance professional -- I mean, as a claims manager you do review good faith claim handling and controversial claims. As a claims manager I would say no, they did not act in good faith.

BY MR. PEARSON:

Q. Can you tell or do you have an opinion as to whether Auto-Owners had a legitimate basis to deny any portion of the contents claim that we've covered today?

MR. PEARSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: It's my opinion they did not have a legitimate basis to deny it because of the coverage, the lack of investigation and the coverage question handling.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. HALL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether Auto-Owners subjected this claim to a cognitive review once it had the documents we've talked about today?

MR. PEARSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: As claim manager I would think that

-- by cognitive review I would think that you meant

knowing, rational review, and that's what I was

talking about with the home office response and so

forth. And, no, I don't think they did have a

cognitive or a rational or knowing review considering

all the facts of the coverage question and the policy

and the claim.

BY MR. HALL:

Q. Were there issues or facts that were ignored by Auto-Owners, in your opinion?

MR. PEARSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes, there were, specifically the lightning affidavit, the -- to a degree the loss report itself, the inventory.

There's one part that I need to clarify. I know that the inventories were given to the insurance agents. I know that Mr. Reeves picked them up from the insurance agent on a -- like a weekly trip by the insurance agent's office. I don't know when they

- SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. -

actually got to the insurance agent's office. See, that would trigger -- that day would actually trigger the 90 days or the 30 days. So I don't think I've -- because I haven't reviewed Mr. Williamson's deposition completely.

BY MR. HALL:

- Q. Are there any other items that were ignored by Auto-Owners, in your opinion, besides the inventories and the proof of loss and the lightning affidavit?
- A. Well, the insuring agreement, those parts of the policy that I said were mistakenly handled. That's all I can think of.
- Q. What about Mr. Williamson's position that he was told by the agents that he could throw away the damaged equipment?
- A. Well, see, Mr. Reeves looked to me like he first learned of that when Mr. Duberry reported. See, it's my understanding that Mr. Duberry is an engineer. I don't know if he's an insurance adjuster. What you're talking about is investigation of, part of investigation of an insurance claim and interpretation matter. So that's why I didn't think that Auto-Owners and Reeves could rely on what Duberry said because that's -- he's not an adjuster. He doesn't know or -- I don't think he's an adjuster. And I generally don't rely on nonadjusters

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. -

for policy and coverage information. That's why you're compelled to go get it directly from the source.

In this case Reeves said he was going to get information directly from Williamson, and that was correct. He didn't do it. He said he was going to do it, but he didn't do it. But that's why if there was a -- and he did testify that he talked to the insurance agents. And if there's a conflict there, then that's why you need to preserve that evidence.

- Q. In his December 20th letter to Pioneer Telephone Services Mr. Reeves indicated it was a conflict of interest to write your own lightning affidavit and request a third party verification of the damages. Do you recall that letter?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Did Mr. -- did Pioneer Telephone submit other documentation following that letter?
- A. Well, I was a little confused by that because the lightning affidavit wasn't submitted -- what I call the lightning affidavit wasn't submitted by Pioneer. It was submitted by --
 - Q. Telcom Services?
- A. Yes, Telcom. And I knew that there was some relationship. I don't think it was -- I've ever saw it completely developed. And so on the face of it -- on

- SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. -

```
the face of it there was a lightning affidavit submitted
1
    by Williamson, but there was also this other one, this
    Telcom one. And on the face of it it didn't appear to
3
    be a nonparty. So I think I've already testified that I
4
    don't think you needed a lightning affidavit on an all
5
    risk policy.
6
           Okay. And are you aware of anything that Mr.
7
    Reeves did with the Telcom Services estimates and the
8
    lightning affidavit?
 9
           Well, in his deposition he said he just put it in
10
    the file. He disregard it.
11
            MR. PEARSON: Object to form.
12
            THE WITNESS: Well, he said -- I'm sorry.
13
       said he saw it. I don't know that he disregarded it.
14
       He just said he put it in the file.
15
            MR. HALL: Okay. Thank you.
16
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17
18
    BY MR. PEARSON:
           Even in your own report, which has been marked as
19
    Defendant's Exhibit 1, Mr. Dinsmore, you've stated that
20
    you understand there are factual disputes existing in
21
22
    this case, haven't you?
            Yes.
23
       Α.
            MR. PEARSON: Okay. I don't have anything else.
24
25
       Thank you.
```

- SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. -

EXHIBIT "A"

Professional Resume

DONALD L. DINSMORE, JD, AIC, P&CCLA 9200 NW 39 Avenue Suite 130-311 Gainesville Florida 32606 Office: Toll-free (888) 551-8822 Fax (877) 848-0903 Cell (919) 593-1134 Dinsmore1@aol.com

Career

2001 current: Donald L. Dinsmore, JD, AIC, P&CCLA also d/b/a Dinsmore Consulting

1998 - 2000 Dinsmore Consulting Inc, Casselberry FL. and Decatur, GA: Positions: President - Consultant.

1997 - 1998: Cardinal Insurance Services, Inc., Casselberry, FL. Positions: Vice President & Senior Consultant.

1996 - 1997: Douglas L. Grose, P.A., Tampa, FL. Positions: Law Clerk and Attorney At Law.

1994 - 1996: Stetson University College of Law, St. Petersburg, FL. Position: Law Student

1984 - 1994: United Services Automobile Association (USAA), Tampa, FL. Positions: Casualty Claims Examiner, Large Loss Unit Manager, Hurricane Hugo Manager, Fraud and Arson Coordinator, Marine Claims Examiner.

1984: The Lumbermens Mutual Insurance Co., Orlando, FL. Position: Regional Property Claims Supervisor.

1983: Preferred Risk Mutual Insurance Co., Altamonte Springs, FL. Position: General Adjuster.

1982 - 1983: Eddy Adjustment Co., Miami, FL. Position: Independent Adjuster.

1978 - 1982: Prudential of America Group, Miami, FL. Position: District Agent.

1969 - 1978: State Farm Group, Alexandria, VA, Greensboro, NC, and Miami, FL. Positions: Field Claims Representative, Claims Specialist, and Special Disaster Supervisor.

Education

1965 - 1966: Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI.

1966 - 1969: University of Florida, Gainesville, FL. Degree: B.S.

1994 - 1996: Stetson University College of Law, St. Petersburg, FL. Degree: J.D.